P&G Case CM2017MC

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In the application of

FABIO CINELLI, ET AL.

Serial No.: 09/917,469

Filed: July 27, 2001

Confirmation No.: 1554

Group Art Unit: 1714

Examiner: K. I. Lee

DISPOSABLE ABSORBENT ARTICLES WITH For IMPROVED ADHESIVE FOR ATTACHMENT TO THE

SKIN TO FACILITATE WATER ADHESION STABILITY WITH LOW PAIN LEVEL REMOVAL

TERMINAL DISCLAIMER

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

Petitioner, The Procter & Gamble Company, is the owner of the entire right, title and interest in the above-identified application. Petitioner hereby disclaims, except as provided below, the terminal part of the statutory term of any patent granted on the above-identified application, which would extend beyond the expiration date of the full statutory term defined in 35 U.S.C. §154 to §156 and §173 as presently shortened by any terminal disclaimer filed prior to the grant of any patent granted to co-pending published Application Number 09/917,505, filed on July 27, 2001. The evidentiary documents for the assignments have been reviewed, and petitioner certifies that to the best of petitioner's knowledge and belief, title is in the assignee to take this action. Petitioner hereby agrees that any patent so granted on the above-identified application shall be enforceable only for and during such period that it and any patent granted on the application are commonly owned. This agreement runs with any patent granted on the aboveidentified application and is binding upon the grantee, its successors or assigns.

In making the above disclaimer, petitioner does not disclaim the termina part of any patent granted on the above-identified application that would extend to the expiration date of the full statutory term as defined in 35 U.S.C. §154 to §156 and §173 of any patent granted on the second application, as shortened by any terminal disclaimer filed prior to the patent grant, in the event that any such granted patent: expires for failure to pay a maintenance fee, is held unenforceable, is found invalid by a court of competent jurisdiction, is statutorily ascraimed in whole or terminally disclaimed under 37 C.F.R. §1.321, has all claims cancelled by a

04/25/2003 TCOLE1

Received from < 5136346108 > at 4/16/03 9:36:22 AM [Eastern Daylight Time]

4PR 1 2003

reexamination certificate, is reissued, or is in any manner terminated prior to the expiration of its full statutory term as shortened by any terminal disclaimer filed prior to its grant.

The undersigned is empowered to act on behalf of the assignee.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Authorization is given to charge Deposit Account No. 16-2480 for the fee required under 37 C.F.R. §1.20 (d) of \$110.00 for submission of this Terminal Disclaimer. A duplicate copy of this correspondence is enclosed to facilitate charging of the fee.

Respectfully submitted,

FABIO CINELLI, ET AL.

Ву

Peter D. Meyer Attorney for Applicants Registration No. 47,792

(513) 634-9359

April 16, 2003

Customer No. 27752



| n | | |
|--|--|--|
| DATE: 4-27-03 | APPL. S.N.: 09/9/74/09 | |
| EXAMINER: | ART UNIT: 17/4 | • |
| PARALEGAL: <u>JEAN PROCTOR</u> | MAILROOM DATE: 4/6-03 | |
| AFTER FINAL: YESNO | NUMBER OF T.D.(S) FILED: | |
| INSTRUCTIONS: I have reviewed the submappropriate form paragraphs identified by the submap of the su | itted T. D. with the results as set forth below. If your informal memo in your next office action to not | ou agree, please use the |
| THIS MEMO IS AN INFORMAL PARTY TO THE | product inc 1.D., picase se | e our Special Program |
| | | 4 |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | ipplication to charge to a |
| | | , |
| [] The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321(b)(3) in T. D. has not stated his/her interest and the exapplication/patent. (See 14.26) | that the person who has signed the ent of the interest of the business entity represente | d by the signs turd in the |
| [] The T. D. lacks the enforceable only during 321(c). (See 14.27 and 14.27.1) | the common ownership clause needed to overcom | e a double patenting Rule |
| [] T. D. is directed to a particular claim(s), when tire patent to be granted, MPEP 1490. (See 14.26 and 14.26.2) | nich is not acceptable since the disclaimer must be o | of a terminal portion of th |
| Nas lailed to state his/her canacity | to sign for the 1 | |
| [] No documentary evidence of a chain of title specified as to where such evidence is recorded. | from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been s | submitted, nor is the fran OTE: This documentary mitted by applicant. (See |
| [] No "STATEMENT" specifying that the evid knowledge and behalf the file is in the assignee s | entiary documents have been reviewed and that, to eeking to take action 37 CFR 3.73(h). (See 1140 O | the best of the assignee |
| [] The T. D. is not signed (See 14.26 and 14.26. | 3) | G. 12) |
| [] Attorney is not of record in the oath/declarate there a customer number. | ion or a separate paper filed appointing a new or a | ssociate attorney, nor is |
| [] The serial number of the application (or the missing or incorrect. (See 14.32) | number of the patent) which forms the basis for the | e double patenting is |
| .[] The serial number of this application (or the | mm-lander of the state of the s | |
| | ~/ | • |
| 1 Other | med. (See 14.27, 14.27.2 or 14.27.3 | |
| | | <u> </u> |
| | | |
| | | * \sum_ |
| | PARALEGAL: JEAN PROCTOR AFTER FINAL: YES | ART UNIT: PARALEGAL: JEAN PROCTOR AFTER FINAL: YES NO NUMBER OF T.D. (S) FILED: INSTRUCTIONS: I have reviewed the submitted T. D. with the results as set forth below. If ye appropriate form paragraphs identified by this informal memo in your next office action to not If you disagree any analysis or have questions at all about the acceptability of the T.D., please set Examiner or me. Examiner or me. THIS NEMO IS AN INFORMAL, INTERNAL MEMO ONLY. If MUST NOT BE MAILED SHOULD A COPY BE LEFT IN FILE. WHEN YOUR OFFICE ACTION IS COMPLETED. DATE & RETURN THIS TO PARALEGAL. The T. D. is PROPER and has been recorded. (See 14.23) The T.D. is NOT PROPER and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checked below. (See 14.25) Application (See 14.25) Application Examiner has not processed fee for T. D. The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321(b)(3) in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated his/her interest and the extent of the interest of the business entity represente application/patent. (See 14.26) The T.D. Is along the enforceable only during the common ownership clause needed to overcom 321(c). (See 14.27 and 14.27.1) The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since the disclaimer must be entire patent to be granted, MPEP 1490. (See 14.26 and 14.26.2) The person who signed the terminal disclaimer: The person wh |

SUBJECT DECISION ON TERMINAL DISCLAIMER INFORMAL FORM